

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2018
MATT CRANE, ARAPAHOE COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER
ALL CONTESTS ARE NOT INCLUDED ON ALL BALLOTS.
CHECK YOUR PRECINCT FOR SPECIFIC CONTENT.

Federal Offices	State Offices	Municipal - City of Cherry Hills Village
Representative to the 116th United States Congress District 1 (Vote for One)	State Representative District 3 (Vote for One)	Mayor Two-year term (Vote for One)
Charles Casper Stockham Republican <input type="radio"/> <small>(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)</small>	Toren Mushovic Republican <input type="radio"/>	Russell Stewart <input type="radio"/>
Diana DeGette Democratic <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 9 (Vote for One)	Councilmember District 2 Four-year term (Vote for One)
Raymon Anthony Doane Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	Emily Sirota Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Afshin Safavi <input type="radio"/>
Write-In <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 36 (Vote for One)	Councilmember District 4 Four-year term (Vote for One)
Representative to the 116th United States Congress District 4 (Vote for One)	Richard J. Bowman Republican <input type="radio"/>	Mike Gallagher <input type="radio"/>
Karen McCormick Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Mike Weissman Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Councilmember District 6 Four-year term (Vote for One)
Ken Buck Republican <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 37 (Vote for One)	Zachary Bishop <input type="radio"/>
Write-In <input type="radio"/>	Tom Sullivan Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Katy Brown <input type="radio"/>
Representative to the 116th United States Congress District 6 (Vote for One)	Write-In <input type="radio"/>	Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)
Mike Coffman Republican <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 38 (Vote for One)	Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?
Jason Crow Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Chris Kolker Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Kat Martin Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	Susan Beckman Republican <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
Dan Chapin Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 40 (Vote for One)	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Write-In <input type="radio"/>	Janet Buckner Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
State Offices	Richard Allen Bassett Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Governor / Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)	State Representative District 41 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Dahlia Jean Weinstein Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican <input type="radio"/>	Jovan Melton Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 42 (Vote for One)	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	Mike Donald Republican <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Phillip L. Douglass of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Secretary of State (Vote for One)	Dominique Jackson Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Wayne Williams Republican <input type="radio"/>	State Representative District 56 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Patricia Herron of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Jena Griswold Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Rod Bockenfeld Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Amanda Campbell American Constitution <input type="radio"/>	Dave Rose Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Gary M. Kramer of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Blake Huber Approval Voting <input type="radio"/>	Kevin Gulbranson Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
State Treasurer (Vote for One)	County Offices	Shall Judge Ben L. Leutwyler III of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Brian Watson Republican <input type="radio"/>	County Commissioner District 2 (Vote for One)	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Dave Young Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Don Strickland Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Robert R. Lung of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Gerald F. Kilpatrick American Constitution <input type="radio"/>	Nancy N. Sharpe Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Attorney General (Vote for One)	County Commissioner District 4 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Bonnie Heather McLean of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Phil Weiser Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Nancy Jackson Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
George Brauchler Republican <input type="radio"/>	Winfred Watt Deal Republican <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Peter F. Michaelson of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
William F. Robinson III Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
State Board of Education Member Congressional District 4 (Vote for One)	Joan Lopez Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Michael Spear of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Tim Krug Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Matt Crane Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Debora L. Scheffel Republican <input type="radio"/>	County Treasurer (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Shay K. Whitaker of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Regent of the University of Colorado At Large (Vote for One)	Sue Sandstrom Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Lesley Smith Democratic <input type="radio"/>	County Assessor (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Michael Spear of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Ken Montera Republican <input type="radio"/>	Marc Scott Republican <input type="radio"/>	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Christopher E. Otwell Unity <input type="radio"/>	PK Kaiser Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Shall Judge Shay K. Whitaker of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
James K. Treibert Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	County Sheriff (Vote for One)	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
	Tyler Scott Brown Democratic <input type="radio"/>	
	David C. Walcher Republican <input type="radio"/>	
	Eric Mulder Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	
	County Coroner (Vote for One)	
	Kelly C. Lear Republican <input type="radio"/>	

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)	Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?	Proposition 110 (STATUTORY) SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?
Shall Judge Colleen Clark of the Arapahoe County Court be retained in office? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge Kelly LaFave of the Arapahoe County Court be retained in office? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL) SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?	
Shall Judge Michael J. Roche of the Arapahoe County Court be retained in office? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>		
Shall Judge Cheryl Rowles-Stokes of the Arapahoe County Court be retained in office? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>		
Ballot Measures Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.		Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>		Proposition 111 (STATUTORY) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>		Proposition 112 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>		
Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?	Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	City of Glendale Ballot Issue 2A WITHOUT RAISING TAX RATES OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL THE CITY OF GLENDALE BE AUTHORIZED TO PLEDGE ALL OR ANY PORTION OF THE LODGING TAX REVENUES WHICH IT RECEIVES FROM PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY'S DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY TO THE PAYMENT OF ANY BONDS WHICH THE CITY MAY ISSUE PURSUANT TO THE ELECTION QUESTION AUTHORIZED BY THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE AUTHORITY ON NOVEMBER 7, 2017? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?	Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	Proposed Charlou Park General Improvement District Ballot Question 2B Shall Cherry Hills Village Charlou Park 3rd Filing General Improvement District, as more particularly described in City Ordinance No. 6, Series of 2018, be organized in the City of Cherry Hills Village, Colorado? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?	Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	Proposed Charlou Park General Improvement District Ballot Issue 2C SHALL CHERRY HILLS VILLAGE CHARLOU PARK 3RD FILING GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED NOT TO EXCEED \$550,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST NOT TO EXCEED \$1,250,000 (PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST), AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S TAXES BE INCREASED NOT TO EXCEED \$60,000 ANNUALLY, TO PROVIDE FOR IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING THE UNDERGROUNDING OF OVERHEAD UTILITIES IN THE DISTRICT AND THE REMOVAL OF UTILITY POLES, BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS BEARING INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.00%, TO BE PAID FROM PROPERTY TAXES, WITHOUT REDUCTION, AT A RATE AND IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY SUCH OBLIGATIONS OR ANY REFUNDINGS THEREOF, AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH SHALL THE DISTRICT'S PROPERTY TAXES ALSO BE INCREASED NOT TO EXCEED \$1,000 ANNUALLY TO PROVIDE FOR THE COST OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISTRICT AND THE OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS, ALL OF SUCH TAXES AND OTHER FUNDS, AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON, TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO ANY LIMITS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>
Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	Proposition 109 (STATUTORY) SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE? Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/>	

<p>City of Littleton Ballot Question 3A</p> <p>Shall Sections 23 and 29 of the Littleton City Charter concerning council membership qualifications and rules be combined into one section and remove language pertaining to judicial review, which is a right that independently exists pursuant to court rules?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3K</p> <p>RESTORING LOCAL CONTROL OVER BROADBAND SERVICES</p> <p>Shall Aurora restore local authority and be authorized to provide high-speed internet services (advanced services), as defined by § 29-27-101-304 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities, and other users of such services, without limiting its home rule authority?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>Adams-Arapahoe School District 28J Ballot Issue 5A</p> <p>SHALL JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28J AURORA PUBLIC SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED \$35 MILLION IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT TO EXCEED 13.7 MILLS, FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS:</p>
<p>City of Littleton Ballot Question 3B</p> <p>Shall Sections 54, 55 and 57 of the Littleton City Charter concerning city attorney appointment, duties and special counsel be amended by placing all into one section of the charter, removing qualification language that is more appropriate in the city code and clarifying special counsel appointment, and deleting Section 56 regarding lawsuits?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>Littleton 6 School District Ballot Issue 4A</p> <p>SHALL ARAPAHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 6 (LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS) DEBT BE INCREASED \$298,870,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$584,690,150, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$29,992,100 ANNUALLY FOR PROVIDING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT, WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:</p>	<p>SUPPORTING STUDENT HEALTH AND SAFETY BY:</p> <p>EXPANDING STAFF AND TRAINING DEDICATED TO STUDENT MENTAL HEALTH;</p> <p>INCREASING PAY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS;</p> <p>EXPANDING AFTER-SCHOOL LEARNING PROGRAMS FOR K THROUGH 5 ELEMENTARY STUDENTS;</p>
<p>City of Littleton Ballot Question 3C</p> <p>Shall Section 58 of the Littleton City Charter concerning the establishment, appointment qualifications, term, etc. of the municipal court judge be amended to include the term "presiding judge" and for appointment of associate judges by the presiding judge?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>1.IMPROVING SAFETY AND SECURITY AT SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING UPGRADING SCHOOL SAFETY EQUIPMENT;</p> <p>2.PROVIDING A CAREER, TECHNICAL, AND INNOVATION CENTER, IN ORDER TO PROVIDE COLLEGE-LEVEL COURSES AND CAREER-FOCUSED LEARNING FOR MORE STUDENTS;</p>	<p>ADDING AND PROVIDING SEAT BELTS ON BUSES;</p> <p>BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL TAXES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p>
<p>City of Littleton Ballot Question 3D</p> <p>Shall Sections 117 and 118 of the Littleton City Charter concerning revocable licenses and permits be combined into one section and give city council authority to delegate the city manager to grant revocable licenses?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>3.EQUIPPING AND/OR FURNISHING SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATH (STEM) EQUIPMENT;</p> <p>4.REPAIRING, RENOVATING, EQUIPPING, OR RE-CONSTRUCTING AGED SCHOOL BUILDINGS TO BE SAFER, MORE EFFICIENT, AND ACCESSIBLE TO ALL STUDENTS, INCLUDING THOSE WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES, AND TO PROVIDE A CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT NECESSARY TO TEACH THE SKILLS FOR COLLEGE, MILITARY SERVICE, AND CAREERS OF THE FUTURE; AND</p>	<p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>
<p>City of Littleton Ballot Question 3E</p> <p>Shall Section 27 of the Littleton City Charter be amended concerning meetings and providing for executive sessions to consider items confidential under state and federal statutes?</p> <p>State and federal statutes permit executive sessions between the city council and staff related to: providing instructions on buying and selling property; to consider the appointment, evaluation and discipline of the city manager, city attorney and presiding municipal judge; to receive legal advice from the city's attorneys on legal issues.</p> <p>If approved by the voters, Section 27 would require that all formal city council actions occur in open public session of the council.</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>PROVIDED THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF BOND PROCEEDS WILL BE MONITORED BY A BOARD OF EDUCATION APPOINTED OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF RESIDENTS, AND SUCH EXPENDITURES WILL BE REPORTED IN THE DISTRICT'S INDEPENDENT AUDIT PUBLISHED ON THE DISTRICT'S WEB SITE;</p> <p>AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE AND AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT) PROVIDED THAT ANY REVENUE PRODUCED BY SUCH MILL LEVY SHALL NOT EXCEED \$29,992,100 ANNUALLY; SHALL SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED THREE PERCENT; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S DEBT LIMIT BE INCREASED FROM AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 20% OF THE DISTRICT'S ASSESSED VALUE TO AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 6% OF THE DISTRICT'S ACTUAL VALUE, AS CERTIFIED BY THE ARAPAHOE COUNTY ASSESSOR; AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p>	<p>BENNETT 29J SD BALLOT ISSUE 5B</p> <p>Limited General Fund Override SHALL BENNETT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 29J TAXES BE INCREASED \$1.6 MILLION (2019 ESTIMATE) ANNUALLY FOR A LIMITED SEVEN-YEAR PERIOD (COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019) AND AFTER COLLECTION YEAR 2019 BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS ARE RAISED FOR THE LIMITED PERIOD (ENDING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2025) FROM AN OVERRIDE MILL LEVY IMPOSED AT A RATE OF 9.971 MILLS, WHICH AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE ANNUAL RATE AUTHORIZED BY THIS BALLOT ISSUE SHALL BE REDUCED TO THE EXTENT OF ANY BOND REDEMPTION MILLS LEVIED BY THE DISTRICT IN THE FUTURE FOR ITS OUTSTANDING BONDS, THE NET AFFECT BEING THAT THIS VOTER AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT CAUSE A NET INCREASE IN THE TOTAL MILL LEVY CURRENTLY IMPOSED BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL, WITH THE MONEYS FROM THIS OVERRIDE TO BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT AND USED FOR ADDITIONAL CLASSROOM CONSTRUCTION AND TO MEET THE IMMEDIATE FACILITY NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>
<p>CITY OF AURORA BALLOT ISSUE 3G</p> <p>MEDICAL MARIJUANA SALES AND USE TAX</p> <p>SHALL AURORA TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$1,800,000.00 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF SUCH INCREASE, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AUTHORIZING THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL 4.0% SALES AND USE TAX ON MEDICAL MARIJUANA AND MEDICAL MARIJUANA-INFUSED PRODUCTS; PROVIDED THAT: (I) THE RATE OF SUCH TAX MAY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE DOES NOT EXCEED 10%, AND (II) THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAX SHALL BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 11-27 OF THE AURORA CHARTER, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT AURORA'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p> <p>Sheridan 2 School District Ballot Issue 4B</p> <p>SHALL SHERIDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 TAXES BE INCREASED THREE MILLION ANNUALLY FOR BASIC EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, WHICH MONEYS SHALL BE USED TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS:</p>	<p>Tallyn's Reach Metro District No 2 Ballot Issue 6A</p> <p>WITHOUT RAISING TAX RATES OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL TALLYN'S REACH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2'S EXISTING MILL LEVY (APPROVED AS BALLOT ISSUE L IN 1998), EXPIRING ON DECEMBER 31, 2018, BE EXTENDED IN ALL FUTURE YEARS BY THE AMOUNTS RAISED ANNUALLY BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 40.000 MILLS, OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>
<p>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3H</p> <p>MEDICAL MARIJUANA GROWS AND MANUFACTURING</p> <p>Shall Aurora allow the licensing and operation of optional premises cultivation facilities and medical marijuana-infused product manufacturing facilities?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>-IMPROVE SAFETY AND SECURITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS TO BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN SAFER BUILDINGS FOR STUDENTS AND STAFF;</p> <p>-REPAIR LEAKING ROOFS AND OTHER DISTRICT FACILITIES AND PROVIDE GENERAL MAINTENANCE IN SCHOOL BUILDINGS;</p> <p>-IMPROVE AND UPGRADE TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS IN SCHOOLS TO SUPPORT 21ST CENTURY LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES AND ACHIEVEMENT FOR SHERIDAN STUDENTS; AND</p> <p>-MAKE SALARIES AND WAGES OF DISTRICT PERSONNEL COMPETITIVE WITH THOSE OF OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA,</p>	
<p>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3I</p> <p>CONTINUING THE PHOTO RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM</p> <p>Shall Aurora continue to issue photo red light tickets to drivers that enter an intersection after the traffic light turns red and after review by law enforcement personnel with a portion of the revenues of such tickets funding nonprofit organizations with a nexus to law enforcement?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>	<p>THROUGH A PROPERTY TAX OVERRIDE MILL LEVY TO BE IMPOSED AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE, TO BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT, AND TO BE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPERTY TAXES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE LEVIED FOR THE GENERAL FUND?</p> <p>Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against <input type="radio"/></p>	
<p>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3J</p> <p>EXTENSION OF FIRE AND POLICE PROBATIONARY PERIOD</p> <p>Shall Article III of the City Charter of the City of Aurora be amended to change the probationary period for newly appointed firefighters and police officers from the end of the first year of employment to one year from the date the firefighter or police officer completes academy training?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p>		

Tallyn's Reach Metro District No 3 Ballot Issue 6B

WITHOUT RAISING TAX RATES OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL TALLYN'S REACH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 3'S EXISTING MILL LEVY (APPROVED AS BALLOT ISSUE L IN 1998), EXPIRING ON DECEMBER 31, 2018, BE EXTENDED IN ALL FUTURE YEARS BY THE AMOUNTS RAISED ANNUALLY BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 40.000 MILLS, OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

Yes No

Goodman Metro District Ballot Issue 6C

WITHOUT CREATING ANY NEW TAX OR INCREASING ANY CURRENT TAX, SHALL THE GOODMAN METROPOLITAN DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO RETAIN AND SPEND ALL LEASE REVENUE, RENTAL FEES, INTEREST INCOME, GRANTS AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES, REGARDLESS OF WHEN COLLECTED, TO BE USED FOR OPERATING, MAINTAINING, RENOVATING, REPLACING AND IMPROVING PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS AND FACILITIES, SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, TAX REDUCTION OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AS THE SAME EXISTS AND MAY BE AMENDED, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS SUCH CURRENTLY EXISTS OR MAY BE AMENDED?

Yes No

South Metro Fire Rescue Fire Protection District Ballot Question 7B

Shall the following described area become a part of the South Metro Fire Rescue Fire Protection District upon the following conditions?

Description of Area: All real property located within the boundaries of the City of Littleton.

Summary of Conditions:

1. Unless otherwise approved by the voters in advance, the maximum mill levy that shall be imposed by South Metro Fire Rescue Fire Protection District ("South Metro") is 9.25 mills or less, exclusive of refunds and abatements, such mill levy to be certified by December 15, 2019 for collection in 2020; and

2. If the proposed inclusion is approved by a majority of the eligible electors, the Pre-Unification and Fire Authority Member Agreement ("Pre-Unification Agreement") between the City of Littleton ("Littleton") and South Metro dated April 18, 2018, requires Littleton, beginning with taxes certified by December 15, 2019 for collection in 2020, to reduce its property tax by 4.662 mills, from 6.662 to 2.0 mills, which, with the 9.25 South Metro mill levy, will result in combined South Metro and Littleton property taxes of 11.25 mills; and

3. The proposed inclusion is subject to the terms and conditions of the Pre-Unification Agreement, including but not limited to the transfer of Littleton Fire Department Assets and personnel, including fire stations and fire apparatus, to South Metro and revising South Metro Board Member districts to include Littleton (a copy of the Pre-Unification Agreement is available for review from South Metro or on its website: <http://www.southmetro.org/>).

For Inclusion Against Inclusion

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Ballot Issue 7G

SHALL URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$14.9 MILLION IN 2019 (RESULTING IN AN ANNUAL TAX INCREASE NOT TO EXCEED \$1.97 IN 2019 FOR EACH \$100,000 OF ACTUAL RESIDENTIAL VALUATION) AND BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FROM A LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 1.0 MILLS TO PAY FOR DISTRICT WORK IN COORDINATION WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING:

1. MAINTAINING EARLY FLOOD WARNING GAUGES TO PROVIDE POTENTIAL EVACUATION WARNINGS,
2. PROVIDING TRAILS, WILDLIFE HABITAT, AND RECREATIONAL ACCESS TO RESIDENTS BY PRESERVING THOUSANDS OF ACRES OF PARKS AND OPEN SPACE IN FLOODPLAIN AREAS WHICH PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT AND PRIVATE PROPERTY, AND
3. REMOVING DEBRIS, GARBAGE AND OBSTRUCTIONS FROM STREAMS, CREEKS AND RIVERS RESULTING IN REDUCED RISK TO THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF RESIDENTS, PROTECTING PROPERTY, AND RESTORING NATURAL BEAUTY;

WITH THE DISTRICT'S ENTIRE MILL LEVY RATE SUBJECT TO STATUTORY CAPS AND TO ADJUSTMENT TO OFFSET REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION; AND SHALL ALL DISTRICT REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW?

Yes/For No/Against